CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) TABLE OF CONTENTS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

		PAGE
<u>OPINION</u>	Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis	3-10
BASIC FINAN	CIAL STATEMENTS	
	Government-Wide Financial Statements:	
EXHIBIT 1	Statement of Net Assets	11-12
EXHIBIT 2	Statement of Activities	13
	Fund Financial Statements:	
EXHIBIT 3	Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	14
EXHIBIT 4	Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets	15
EXHIBIT 5	Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	16
EXHIBIT 6	Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	17
	Notes to Financial Statements	18-36
REQUIRED SU	JPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
EXHIBIT 7	Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual - General Fund	37-38

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) TABLE OF CONTENTS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	<u>PAGE</u>
SUPPLEMENTAL STATE COMPLIANCE	
Report on Legal Compliance with Applicable Utah State Laws and Regulations	39-40
AUDITORS' REPORT ACCORDING TO GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	
Auditors' Report on Compliance and on Internal Control	
Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Finan-	
cial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government	
Auditing Standards	41-42

SMUIN, RICH & MARSING

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
294 East 100 South
Price, Utah 84501
Phone (435) 637-1203 • FAX (435) 637-8708

MEMBERS

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

UTAH ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

CRAIG G.SMUIN, C.P.A. R. KIRT RICH, C.P.A. GREG MARSING, C.P.A. DOUGLAS RASMUSSEN, C.P.A.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Trustees Castle Valley Special Service District Castle Dale, Utah 84513

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of governmental activities and each major fund of Castle Valley Special Service District (a component unit of Emery County) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall basic financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of governmental activities and each major fund of Castle Valley Special Service District as of December 31, 2006 and the respective changes in financial position where applicable, for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 9, 2007 on our consideration of Castle Valley Special Service District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information, as listed in the table of contents, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

SMUIN, RICH & MARSING

Price, Utah

March 9, 2007

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Our discussion and analysis of the Castle Valley Special Service District's financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the year ending December 31, 2006. This report is in conjunction with the District's financial statements, which are a part of this report.

The stated purpose of the District is to provide drinking water, irrigation water, sewer, drainage, and transportation services to eight communities in Western Emery County (Elmo, Cleveland, Huntington, Castle Dale, Orangeville, Clawson, Ferron and Emery). The District provides management, manpower, property and equipment for the operation and maintenance of these systems. The District also provides technical advice and funding sources for the construction of new systems and facilities.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The District's total revenue increased by \$152,098 over 2005. This was mostly due to an increase in water and sewer rates put into effect in 2004, and increases in property tax revenue.
- The District's net assets decreased by \$580,529 approximately 4.1%, as a result of this year's operation.
- During the year the District had expenses that were \$377,996 more that the \$4,301,888 generated in taxes, other revenue, and bond proceeds.
- The total expenses as reported on all governmental funds, of \$4,679,884 were \$344,123 more than in 2005. This was caused by increased spending for capital improvement and increases in road maintenance.
- General-purpose property tax revenue increased by \$211,900 compared to 2005. The increase is due to higher evaluation for state accessed properties.

USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Governmental Activities (on pages 11 and 13) provide information about the activities of the District as a whole and present a longer-term view of the District's finances. Fund Financial Statements start on page 14. These statements tell how these services were financed in the short term as well as what remain for future spending.

REPORTING THE DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

The District realizes revenue from four main sources; property tax, charges for services, mineral lease revenue and grants and loans. These funds are used to purchase equipment, construct new facilities, fund labor, and other operation expenses used for the maintenance of the District's facilities. The District is involved in an extensive construction program. It has issued General Obligation Bonds and received a PCIFB grant for funding of the construction. The main items constructed in 2006 include streets, curb and gutter, and storm drains throughout the eight communities.

Our analysis of the District as a whole begins on page 11. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Governmental Activities report information about the District as a whole and about its activities in a way that helps determine if the District is better or worse off as a result of this year's activities.

These two statements report the District's net assets and changes in them. Net assets equal the difference between assets and liabilities. This is one way to measure the District's financial position. Increases or decreases in the District's net assets are one indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other non-financial factors, such as changes in the District's property tax base and the condition of the District's sewer plants, water plants, etc., to assess the health of the District.

REPORTING THE DISTRICT'S MOST SIGNIFICANT FUNDS

All of the District's services are reported in government funds, which focus on how money flows into and out of those funds and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method called *modified accrual* accounting, which measures cash and all other *financial* assets that can readily be converted to cash. The government fund statements provide a detailed *short-term view* of the District's general government operation and the basic services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the District's programs. The District has three fund types. They are General, Capital Projects, and Debt Service Funds.

The District does charge the cities for some of the services it provides. However, most of the funding for these services is provided through a property tax. The District Board determined several years ago that since most of the revenue is generated in property taxes, the proper fund classification for the District was governmental funds rather than proprietary funds according to accounting standards.

THE DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

The net assets of the District decreased by \$580,529. The following analysis focuses on the net assets (Table 1) and changes in net assets (Table 2) of the District's governmental activities.

Table 1
Net Assets

	Govermental Activities 2005	Govermental Activities 2006
	# 0.00 <i>(</i> .500	Ф. 2.110.650
Current and other assets	\$ 2,926,520	\$ 3,118,659
Capital assets, net	19,164,656	18,624,454
Bond Issuance costs, net	35,541	42,056
Bond discount, net	14,001	11,668
m . 1		0.01.504.005
Total assets	\$22,140,718	\$ 21,796,837
Y 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 1 1 1	ф с 7 01 001	¢ (04(90)
Long-term debt outstanding	\$ 6,781,901	\$ 6,946,892
Other liabilities	1,223,171	1,294,828
Total liabilities	\$ 8,005,072	\$ 8,241,720
Net assets:		
Investment in capital assets,		
net of debt	\$11,289,056	\$ 10,691,433
Restricted for:	· -, · - ,	
General fund-water plant	57,831	81,055
Capital projects fund	551,004	659,159
Debt Service fund	1,178,415	1,316,004
Unrestricted	1,059,340	807,466
Total net assets	\$14,135,646	\$ 13,555,117

Net assets of the District are \$13,555,117. The Unrestricted Net Assets – the part of the net assets that can be used to finance day-to day operations without constraints established by debt covenants, enabling legislation, or other legal requirements – are \$807,466.

This is the District's fifth year of implementing GASB 34 reporting requirements. The District's decrease of \$0.58 million in net assets does indicate, however, that the District is in a slightly lower financial position than the previous years.

Table 2
Change in Net Assets

	Governmental Activities 2005	Govermental Activities 2006
Revenues		
Program Revenues:		
Charges for services	\$ 57 6,5 33	\$ 581,653
Capital grants and contributions	531,932	8 19, 43 7
General Revenues:		
Property taxes	2,12 2,6 94	2,334,594
Grants and contributions	533,076	439,287
Contributions from other govt's		
Other general revenues	385,555	126,917
Total revenues	\$ 4,149,790	\$ 4,301,888
Progam expenses:		
General government	\$ 275,101	\$ 300,015
Public works	3,893,547	4,193,706
Interest on long-term debt	167,113	186,163
Total program expenses	\$ 4,335,761	\$ 4,679,884
General Expenses:		
Loss on disposal of fixed assets		\$ 202,533
Total general expenses	\$	\$ 202,533
Total expenses	\$ 4,335,761	\$ 4,882,417
Change in net assets	\$ (185,971)	\$ (580,529)
Net assets-beginning	\$ 14,321,617	\$ 14,135,646
Net assets-ending	14,135,646	13,555,117
	\$ (185,971)	\$ (580,529)

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

Table 3 presents the District's net cost (total cost less revenue generated by activity). The net cost for all governmental activity was \$3,278,794 as shown in the Statement of Governmental Activities. The amount that our taxpayers ultimately financed for these activities through property taxes was \$2,334,594 (\$211,900 more than 2005 property taxes). This points out that our District relies heavily upon property taxes to finance its operations. Grants and contributions contributed the substantial amount of \$439,287 to our finances in 2006.

The total cost of services exceeded the program revenue. However, this was anticipated due to the schedule of construction for some of our capital improvement projects.

Table 3
Governmental Activities

	Total Cost of Services 2006	Program Revenue 2006	Net Cost of Services 2006
Primary government			
Governmental activities:	•		
General government	\$ 300,015		\$ (300,015)
Public works	4,193,706	\$ 1,401,090	(2,792,616)
Interest on long-term debt	186,163		(186,163)
	\$ 4,679,884	\$ 1,401,090	\$(3,278,794)

GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS

Over the course of the year, the District's budget was revised one time. The actual expenditures were \$33,254 below the budget amounts in the General Fund. The high increases in fuel cost, pipe costs, asphalt, and concrete costs made budgeting difficult for the year. Construction costs were much higher than the previous years.

CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets

At the end of 2006, the District had \$18.6 million in a broad range of capital assets; including water, culinary water, and sewer and storm drainage facilities in the eight communities that we serve. (See Table 4 below)

Table 4
Capital Assets at Year-end
(Net of Depreciation)

	Govermental Activities				
	2005	2006			
Land and easements	\$ 218,683	\$ 218,683			
Buildings	31,042	29,375			
Machinery and equipment	482,231	4 64, 366			
Improvements other that buildings	18,432,700	17,912,030			
Total	\$ 19,164,656	\$ 18,624,454			
This year's major additions included:					
Automated Geographic Ref Cnt (paid with bond and grant proceeds)		\$ 10,000			
Drainage projects (paid with bond and grant proceeds)		408,630			
Purchase of three Chevy Trucks (paid with bond and grant proceeds)		58 ,197			
Purchase of a Vibratory Trench Roller (paid with bond and grant proceeds)		28,500			
Total		\$ 505,327			

The District's 2007 budget calls for it to spend approximately \$2.68 million for capital projects; principally for streets, curb and gutter and storm drains in all of the communities.

Debt

At year-end, the District had \$7.93 million in General Obligation Bonds outstanding versus \$7.77 million last year, as shown in Table 5.

Table 5 Outstanding Debt at Year-end 2005

Govenemental Activities

	2005	2006
Bond payable	\$ 7,547,300	\$ 7,779,000
Bond payable	227,603	154,021
Total	\$ 7,774,903	\$ 7,933,021

One General Obligation Bond was issued in 2006. It was in the amount of \$1,228,000. The purpose of the bond is for construction of streets, curb and gutter, and storm drains in the eight communities.

ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGET AND RATES

The District relies heavily on property taxes to finance its operations. The District's general property tax values have been decreasing for the past several years. The decrease is attributed to a general decline in the tax values of the State Assessed properties within the District. However, the District management took aggressive action in 2001 to obtain voter approval for a tax rate increase in the General Fund from 0.000800 to 0.001000. This was the first increase in 16 years. This new tax rate increase took effect in 2002, and had the desired effect of increasing the general property tax revenue in 2002 and again in 2003. However in 2004, property tax revenues decreased and in 2005 tax revenue decreased by \$141,362. In 2006 the property tax increased by \$211,900 due to new construction activities of the State Assessed properties.

The District's Administrative Control Board and management staff decided to increase the monthly charges for culinary water and sewer user's fees. These increases went into effect in 2004. Public Hearings concerning the proposed user fee increases were held throughout the District and the Board passed a Resolution in April 2004 to increase the rates on July 1, 2004. The action increased the revenue by about \$200,000 in 2005 and increased revenue by about \$20,252 in 2006. During the past few years, the Mineral Lease Revenue has been significantly declining. Mineral Lease Revenue is received by the District, from leases of federal coal and oil production on federal land. In 2006, Mineral Lease Revenue decreased by \$93,789 from 2005 revenues.

The District is still hopeful that PacifiCorp will build a fourth generating unit at the Hunter plant site. Their plans are not yet finalized, but they have begun applying for permits and environmental clearances. If they do decide to build the plant, construction will not start for a few years, but would bring large employment to the District, the property tax value would increase, and increased property tax revenue would be realized.

The budgets for 2007 have been approved and implemented. In the General Fund, personnel costs of \$1,010,000 are the largest expenditure for the District. A 3% cost of living increase was budgeted for 2007. The second largest expenditure is for road maintenance at \$735,000. In 2007 we plan to chip-seal the streets in the community of Ferron. In 2007 the District plans to continue with our bonding and construction program. It is expected that the District will issue \$1.66 million of General Obligation Bonds and receive a \$0.83 million Grant from the Permanent Community Impact Board for the construction of streets, curb and gutter, sewer lines and storm drains.

CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to show the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional information, contact the District Manager at 86 South 100 East, Castle Dale, Utah 84513, telephone at (435) 381-5333 or e-mail at cvssd877@etv.net.

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2006

		GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES		
<u>ASSETS</u>				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	50,222		
Investments, at cost		906,716		
Restricted:				
Investments		2,056,218		
Receivables:				
Taxes		19,715		
Accounts		6,500		
Due from other governments		59,742		
Prepaid expenses	<u>.</u>	19,546		
Total current assets	\$	3,118,659		
Noncurrent assets:				
Bond issuance costs, net	\$	42,056		
Bond issued at discount, net		11,668		
Capital assets (net of accumulated depreciation):				
Land and easements		218,683		
Buildings		29,375		
Infrastructure		17,912,0 30		
Machinery and equipment		464,366		
Total noncurrent assets	\$	18,678,178		
Total assets	\$	21,796,837		

[&]quot;The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2006

	ERNMENTAL CTIVITIES
LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS	
LIABILITIES:	
Current liabilities:	
Vouchers payable	\$ 91,513
Accrued liabilities	26,747
Bond interest payable	106,978
Capital lease interest payable	3,295
G.O. bonds payable - Due within one year	9 86,0 00
Capital lease payable - Due within one year	 80,295
Total current liabilities	\$ 1,294,828
Noncurrent liabilities:	
Compensated absences	\$ 80,166
G.O. bonds payable - Due in more than one year	6 ,793,0 00
Capital lease payable - Due in more than one year	 73,726
Total noncurrent liabilities	\$ 6,946.892
Total liabilities	\$ 8,241,720
NET ASSETS:	
Invested in capital assets, net of	
related debt	\$ 10,691,433
Restricted for:	
General Fund - water plant	81,055
Capital Projects	659,159
Debt service	1,316,004
Unrestricted	 807,466
Total net assets	\$ 13,555,117
Total liabilities and net assets	\$ 21,796,837

[&]quot;The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

		Program	Revenues	R	et (Expense) evenue and Changes in Net Assets		
Functions/Programs	Capita Charges for Grants a		Capital Charges for Grants and		Capital	Go	overnmental Activities
Primary Government			•				
Governmental activities:							
General government	\$ 300,015			\$	(300,015)		
Public works	4,193,706	\$ 581,653	\$ 819,437	Ψ	(2,792,616)		
Interest on long-term debt	186 ,163	Ψ 361,033	Ψ 012,437		(186,163)		
Total governmental					(100,103)		
activities	\$ 4,679,884	\$ 581,653	\$ 819,437	\$	(3,278,794)		
activities		"	Ψ 012, 1 37	—	(3,276,774)		
	Property taxes Grants and con to specific p Miscellaneous Investment earn		servic e	\$	1,030,427 1,304,167 439,287 103 126,814 (202,533)		
	Total gen	eral revenues		\$	2,698,265		
	(Change in net asso	ets	\$	(580,529)		
	Net assets - beg	ginning			14,135,646		
	Net assets - end	ling		\$	13,555,117		

[&]quot;The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS DECEMBER 31, 2006

	GENERAL FUND				CAPITAL PROJECTS		TOTAL GOVERNMENTA FUNDS	
<u>ASSETS</u>								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	50,222					\$	50,222
Investments		925,303	\$	1,304,990	\$	732,641		2,962,934
Receivables:								
Taxes		8,701		11,014				19, 715
Accounts		6,50 0						6,500
Due from other governments		59,742						59,742
Prepaid expenses		19,546						19,546
Total assets	\$	1,070,014	\$	1,316,004	\$	732,641	\$	3,118,659
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES								
LIABILITIES:								
Vouchers payable	\$	18,031			\$	73,482	\$	91,513
Accrued liabilities		26,747	_					26,747
Total liabilities	\$	44,778	\$	•••	\$	73,482	\$	118,260
FUND BALANCES:							÷	
Reserved for:								
Debt service		•	\$	1,316,004			\$	1,316,004
General Fund - water plant	\$	81,055						81,055
Capital Projects fund					\$	659,159		659,159
Unreserved, reported in:								
General fund		944,181				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		944,181
Total fund balances	\$	1,025,236	\$	1,316,004	\$	659,159	\$	3,000,399
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$	1,070,014	\$	1,316,004	\$	732,641	\$	3,118,659

[&]quot;The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) RECONCILIATION OF THE BALANCE SHEET OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF NET ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 2006

Total fund balances - governmental fund types:	\$ 3,000,399		
Amounts reported for governmental activities in different because:	n the statement of net assets are		
Capital assets used in governmental activities a are not reported in the funds.	re not financial resources and, therefore		
	Land and easements	\$ 218,683	
	Buildings	29,375	
	Infrastructure	17,912,030	
	Machinery & equipment	464,366	18,624,454
Bond issuance costs are reported as expenditure The cost is \$63,656 and accumulated amortizat	_		42, 056
Bond discounts are reported as an adjustment to The discount is \$21,000 and accumulated amor	_		11,668
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, period and therefore are not reported in the fundamental control of the contro			
	Bond interest payable	\$ (106,978)	
	Capital lease interest payable	(3,295)	
	G.O. bonds payable - current portion	(986,000)	
	Capital lease payable - current portion	(80,295)	
	G.O. bonds payable - > one year	(6,793,000)	•
-	Capital lease payable - > one year	(73,726)	
	Compensated absences	(80,166)	(8,123,460)
Net assets of governmental activities			\$ 13,555,117

"The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

REVENUES:					TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND
Taxes		GENERAL			
Charges for services S81,653	REVENUES:				
Intergovernmental 483,724 \$775,000 1,258,724 Interest revenue 48,571 20,027 58,216 126,814 Miscellaneous 78 25 103 Total revenues \$2,144,453 \$1,324,194 \$833,241 \$4,301,888 EXPENDITURES: Current	Taxes	\$ 1,030,427	\$ 1,304,167		\$ 2,334,594
Interest revenue 48,571 20,027 58,216 126,814 Miscellaneous 78 25 103 Total revenues \$2,144,453 \$1,324,194 \$833,241 \$4,301,888 EXPENDITURES:	Charges for services	581,653			581,653
Miscellaneous 78 25 103 10	Intergovernmental	483,724		\$ 775,000	1,258,724
EXPENDITURES: Current - General government \$ 272,616 \$ 13,718 \$ 11,150 \$ 297,484	Interest revenue	48,571	20,027		
EXPENDITURES: Current - General government \$ 272,616 \$ 13,718 \$ 11,150 \$ 297,484 Public works 1,318,309 1,318,309 1,318,309 Capital outlay 532,611 2,001,936 2,534,547 Debt service - Principal retirement 996,300 996,300 Interest on long term debt 176,587 176,587 Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Bond proceeds \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) \$ (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Miscellaneous	78		25	103
Current - General government	Total revenues	\$ 2,144,453	\$ 1,324,194	\$ 833,241	\$ 4,301,888
General government \$ 272,616 \$ 13,718 \$ 11,150 \$ 297,484 Public works 1,318,309 1,318,309 1,318,309 Capital outlay 532,611 2,001,936 2,534,547 Debt service - Principal retirement 996,300 996,300 Interest on long term debt 176,587 176,587 Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 1,228,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January I, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	EXPENDITURES:				
Public works 1,318,309 1,318,309 1,318,309 Capital outlay 532,611 2,001,936 2,534,547 Debt service - Principal retirement 996,300 996,300 Interest on long term debt 176,587 176,587 Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January I, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Current -				
Capital outlay 532,611 2,001,936 2,534,547 Debt service -	General government	. ,	\$ 13,718	\$ 11,150	\$ 297,484
Debt service - Principal retirement 996,300 996,300 Interest on long term debt 176,587 176,587 Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January I, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Public works	1,318,309			1,318,309
Principal retirement Interest on long term debt 996,300 176,587 996,300 176,587 Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds Transfers in (out) Capital lease financing (80,295) \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Capital outlay	532,611		2, 001,9 36	2 ,534 ,547
Total expenditures	Debt service -	•			
Total expenditures \$ 2,123,536 \$ 1,186,605 \$ 2,013,086 \$ 5,323,227 Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds	Principal retirement		996,300		-
Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) \$ (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Interest on long term debt		176,587		176,587
expenditures \$ 20,917 \$ 137,589 \$ (1,179,845) \$ (1,021,339) OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Total expenditures	\$ 2,123,536	\$ 1,186,605	\$ 2,013,086	\$ 5,323,227
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES): Bond proceeds Transfers in (out) Capital lease financing (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ 1,228,000 \$ 1,228,000 (80,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Excess of revenue over (under)				
Bond proceeds	expenditures	\$ 20,917	\$ 137,589	\$ (1,179,845)	\$ (1,021,339)
Transfers in (out) \$ (60,000) 60,000 Capital lease financing (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):				
Capital lease financing (80,295) (80,295) Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Bond proceeds			\$ 1,228,000	\$ 1,228,000
Total other financing sources (uses) \$ (140,295) \$ \$ 1,288,000 \$ 1,147,705 Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Transfers in (out)	\$ (60,000)		60,000	
Excess of revenues and other sources over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Capital lease financing	(80,295)			(80,295)
over (under) expenditures and other uses \$ (119,378) \$ 137,589 \$ 108,155 \$ 126,366 FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033	Total other financing sources (uses)	\$ (140,295)	<u> </u>	\$ 1,288,000	\$ 1,147,705
FUND BALANCE, January 1, 1,144,614 1,178,415 551,004 2,874,033					
	uses	\$ (119,378)	\$ 137,589	\$ 108,155	\$ 126,366
FUND BALANCE, December 31, \$ 1,025,236 \$ 1,316,004 \$ 659,159 \$ 3,000,399	FUND BALANCE, January 1,	1,144,614	1,178,415	551,004	2,874,033
	FUND BALANCE, December 31,	\$ 1,0 25,23 6	\$ 1,316,004	\$ 659,1 59	\$ 3,000,399

[&]quot;The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

Net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds		\$	126,366
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:			
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the			
Statement of Activities the cost of those assets are allocated over their estimated			
useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which			(225.660)
depreciation (\$842,996) exceeded capital outlays (\$505,327) in the current period.			(337,669)
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds) provide current financial resources to			
governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal and interest of long-term debt			
consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. In the Statement of			
Activity, principal payment on bonds is not recorded and interest expense is recognized			
as it accrues, regardless of when it is due. Also, governmental funds report the effect		-	
of issuance costs and discounts when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are	•		
deferred and amortized in the Statement of Activities. The net effect of these differences			
in the treatment of general obligation bonds and related items is as follows:			
General obligation bonds issued	\$ (1,228,000)		
Bond issuance costs	15,000		
Repayment of bond principal	996,300		
Repayment of capital lease	73,582		
Interest expense - general obligation bonds	(9,576)		
Amortization of bond issuance costs	(8,485)		
Amortization of bond discount	(2,333)		(163,512)
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of			
current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in			,
governmental funds. (Compensated absence/loss on disposal of capital assets)			(205,714)
Change in net assets of governmental activities		\$	(580,529)

"The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement."

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of Castle Valley Special Service District have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America (GAAP) as applied to local governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The more significant accounting polices of the District are described below.

A. Reporting Entity

Castle Valley Special Service District (referred to as the District hereafter) was organized under the State of Utah's Statute for Special Service Districts, Section 1123, by Emery County in 1976. The District has an 11-member board comprised of 3 members appointed by county commissioners and 8 members who are appointed by the towns that are encompassed by the District's services. The District provides the following services: culinary water, sewer, pressurized irrigation, drainage, and road improvements and maintenance.

The criteria used by the District to determine the reporting entity consists of including any governmental department, agency, institution, commission or other governmental organization of which the District's governing board has financial accountability. Financial accountability is derived from the governmental units' power and includes, but is not limited to, financial interdependency, selection of governing authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations and accountability for fiscal matters. Financial accountability implies that a governmental unit is dependent on another and the dependent unit should be reported as part of the other. According to these criteria, the District is considered a "component unit" of Emery County, and has been included in the County's financial statements.

B. New Accounting Standards Adopted

Effective January 1, 2002, the District adopted the following pronouncements issued by GASB:

- Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments
- Statement No. 37, Basic Financial Statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments: Omnibus
- Statement No. 38, Certain Financial Statement Note Disclosures

B. New Accounting Standards Adopted (Continued)

GASB Statement No. 34 (as amended by GASB Statement No. 37) represents a significant change in the financial reporting model used by state and local governments. GASB Statement No. 34 requires government-wide financial statements to be prepared using the accrual basis of accounting and the economic resources measurement focus. The government-wide model does not provide information by fund or account group, but reports the District's aggregate activities as governmental activities. The statement of net assets includes both noncurrent assets and liabilities of the District. The statement of activities reflects depreciation expenses on the District's capital assets. GASB statement No. 34 also requires a Management's Discussion and Analysis as required supplementary information. The Management's Discussion and Analysis is an overview of the District's financial activities.

GASB Statement No. 38 requires certain disclosures to be made in the notes to the financial statements concurrent with the implementation of GASB Statement No. 34. While this statement did not affect amounts reported in the basic financial statements, certain note disclosures have been added.

C. Government-Wide Financial Statements

Generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) require that state and local governments provide a government-wide statement of net assets and a government-wide statement of activities. These government-wide financial statements are required to be presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, the same measurement focus and basis of accounting employed by private-sector business enterprises and not-for-profit organizations.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expense of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customer who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function.

The statement of net assets is the basic government-wide statement of position that presents all of the District's permanent accounts (assets, liabilities, and net assets).

D. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of the measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

D. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation (Cont'd)

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Only the portion of special assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accruals revenues of the current period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

The government reports the following major governmental funds:

General Fund - The General Fund is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Debt Service Fund - The Debt Service Fund accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal and interest on long-term general obligation debt of governmental funds.

Capital Projects Fund - The Capital Projects Fund is used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in the government wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first then unrestricted resources, as they are needed.

E. <u>Capital Assets</u>

Capital assets, which include, land, easements, water stock, buildings and improvements, vehicles, equipment and infrastructure assets (e.g., drainage systems and water and sewer systems) are reported in the government-wide financial statements. The District defines capital assets as assets with an initial individual cost of \$5,000 or more and an estimated useful life in excess of two years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed. Interest incurred during the construction phase of capital assets of the District is not included as part of the capitalized value of the assets constructed.

Buildings, vehicles, machinery and equipment and infrastructure of the District is depreciated using the straight line method over the following estimated useful lives:

<u>Assets</u>	<u>Years</u>
Buildings	10-30
Vehicles	5-7
Machinery & Equipment	5-15
Infrastructure	10-50

In the fund financial statements, costs related to capital assets used in governmental fund operations are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the governmental fund upon acquisitions.

F. Budgeting

The system of budgeting used by the District complies primarily with the procedures outlined in the "State of Utah Uniform Accounting Manual for Special Districts," which is in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Budgets are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting for all governmental funds. All annual appropriations lapse at year-end.

The District follows the budgetary practices and procedures required by State law. These requirements are summarized as follows:

- 1. A formal budget is adopted by the District.
- 2. The budget is a complete financial plan that identifies all estimated revenues and all appropriations for expenditures for the year. The budget must balance that is estimated revenues and expenditures must equal.

F. <u>Budgeting (Continued)</u>

- 3. On or before November 1, the District Manager prepares a tentative budget and files it with the Board of Trustees.
- 4. The tentative budget is a public record and is available for public inspection for at least ten days prior to public hearings held to consider adoption of the budget.
- 5. Notice of the scheduled public hearings is published at least ten days prior to the meetings.
- 6. Public hearings are held on the tentatively adopted budget. Members of the public may comment on the budget and recommend changes to the Board of Trustees.
- 7. The Board of Trustees considers the comments made by the public and makes final adjustments to the budget.
- 8. By December 31, the Board of Trustees adopts the budget by resolution. A copy of the budget is certified by the County Auditor and is filed with the State Auditor within thirty days of adoption. A certified copy of the budget is available for public inspection.
- 9. The budget may be amended to reflect changes in circumstances, which occur during the year.

G. Cash and Cash Equivalents

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition.

H. Compensated Absences

It is the District's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick pay benefits. All vacation pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide statements. A percentage of sick leave is accrued based upon the employees' years of service with the district. Unused sick leave is only paid if the employee retires.

I. Prepaid Expense

Prepaid expenses reported on the statement of net assets consist of insurance. The District has adopted the policy of using the consumption method when recording insurance expenditures. This means that insurance expense is recorded as an expenditure as insurance is used, or in other words, premiums paid are expensed according to the lapsing of time.

J. Long-Term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities fund type statement of net assets.

K. <u>Use of Estimates</u>

The preparation of basic financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the basic financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

L. Fund Equity

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts that are not available for appropriation or are legally restricted by outside parties for use for a specific purpose. Designations of fund balance represent tentative management plans that are subject to change.

M. <u>Property Taxes</u>

The property tax revenue of the District is collected and distributed by the Emery County Treasurer as an agent of the District. Utah statutes establish the process by which taxes are levied and collected. The county assessor is required to assess real property as of January 1 and complete the tax rolls by May 15. By July 21, the county auditor is to mail assessed value and tax notices to property owners. A taxpayer may then petition the County Board of Equalization between August 1 and August 15 for a revision of the assessed value. The county auditor makes approved changes in assessed value by November 1 and on this same date the county auditor is to deliver the completed assessment rolls to the county treasurer. Tax notices are mailed with a due date of November 30. Delinquent taxes are subject to a 2% penalty, with a \$10 minimum penalty. If delinquent taxes and penalties are not paid by January 15 of the following year, these delinquent taxes, including penalties, are subject to an interest charge at an annual rate equal to the federal discount rate plus 6%; the interest period is from January 1 until paid.

As of December 31, 2006, property taxes receivable consists of delinquent taxes assessed but uncollected for calendar year 2006. It is expected that all delinquencies plus accrued interest and penalties will be collected within a five-year period, during which time, the county treasurer may force the sale of property to collect the delinquent portion. Only the portion of property taxes receivable that meets the revenue recognition criteria is reported as revenue in the fund financial statements.

N. Net Asset Classifications

In the government-wide financial statements, net assets are displayed in three components:

a. Invested in capital assets, net of related debt -- consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding balances of any bonds, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

N. <u>Net Asset Classifications (Continued)</u>

- b. Restricted net assets consist of net assets with constraints placed on the use either by 1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or 2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- c. Unrestricted net assets all other net assets that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "invested in capital assets, net or related debt."

Governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance. Fund balance is classified as either reserved or unreserved, with unreserved further split between designated and undesignated. Amounts are classified as reserved when amounts are required to be reserved for a specific purpose, either through legislation or third-party contracts. The Board of Trustees can designate unreserved amounts for a specific purpose.

2. <u>DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS</u>

The District follows the requirements of the Utah Money Management Act (Utah Code, Section 51, Chapter 7) in handling its depository and investment transactions. The Act requires the depositing of District funds in a qualified depository. The Act defines a qualified depository as any financial institution whose deposits are insured by an agency of the Federal Government and which has been certified by the State Commissioner of Financial Institutions as meeting the requirements of the Act and adhering to the rules of the Utah Money Management Council.

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a formal deposit policy for custodial credit risk. At December 31, 2006, the District's bank balance of cash on deposit was \$83,564 of this amount \$83,564 was insured.

Investments

The Money Management Act defines the types of securities authorized as appropriate investments for the District and the conditions for making investment transactions. Investment transactions may be conducted only through qualified depositories, certified dealers, or directly with issuers of the investment securities.

Statutes authorize the District to invest in negotiable or nonnegotiable deposits of qualified depositories and permitted negotiable depositories; repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements; commercial paper that is classified as "first tier" by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations, one of which must be Moody's Investors Services or Standard & Poor's; bankers' acceptances; obligations of the United States Treasury including bills, notes, and bonds; bonds, notes, and other evidence of indebtedness of political subdivisions of the State; fixed rate corporate obligations and variable rate securities rated "A" or higher, or the equivalent of "A" or higher, by two nationally recognized statistical rating organizations; shares or certificates in a money market mutual fund as defined in the Act; and the Utah State Public Treasurer's Investment Fund.

2. <u>DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)</u>

The Utah State Treasurer's Office operates the Public Treasurer's Investment Fund (PTIF). The PTIF is available for investment of funds administered by any Utah public treasurer. The PTIF is not registered with the SEC as an investment company. The PTIF is authorized and regulated by the Money Management Act, Section 51-7, and Utah Code Annotated, 1953, as amended. The Act established the Money Management Council, which oversees the activities of the State Treasurer and the PTIF and details the types of authorized investments. Deposits in the PTIF are not insured or otherwise guaranteed by the State of Utah, and participants share proportionally in any realized gains or losses on investments.

The PTIF operates and reports to participants on an amortized cost basis. The income, gains, and losses – net of administration fees, of the PTIF are allocated based upon the participant's average daily balance. The fair value of the PTIF investment pool is approximately equal to the value of the pool shares.

The District maintains a cash and investment pool that is available for use by all funds. Each fund type's portion of this pool is displayed on the combined balance sheet as cash and investments. Income from the investment of the pooled cash is allocated based on each fund's portion of the pool. In addition, cash is separately held by some funds.

As of December 31, 2006, the District had the following investments and maturities:

		Investment Maturities (in Years)							
Investment Type	Fair Value	Less Than 1	1-5	6-10	More than 10				
State of Utah Public Treasurer's Investment Fund	\$ 2,963,012	\$ 2,963,012							
Total Investments	\$ 2,963,012	\$ 2,963,012	\$	\$	\$				

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The District manages this risk in part by investing in the Utah Public Treasurers Investment Fund. The District also manages its exposure to fair value loss arising from increasing interest rates is to comply with the State's Money Management Act. Section 51-7-11 of the Act requires that the remaining term to maturity of investments may not exceed the period of availability of the funds to be invested. The Act further limits the remaining term to maturity on all investments in commercial paper, bankers' acceptance, fixed rate negotiable deposits, and fixed rate corporate obligations to 270-365 days or less. In addition, variable rate negotiable deposits and variable rate securities may not have a remaining term to final maturity exceeding 2 years.

2. **DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)**

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. The District has not adopted a formal policy with regards to credit risk on investments but the District informally follows the policy for reducing its exposure to credit risk by complying with the State's Money Management Act as previously discussed.

At December 31, 2006, the District had the following investments and quality ratings:

•	Fair				
Investment Type	Value	AAA	AA	A	Unrated
State of Utah Public					
Treasurer's Investment Fund	\$ 2,963,012				\$ 2,963,012
Total	\$ 2,963,012	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,963,012

Concentration of Credit Risk

Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer. The District informal policy for reducing this risk of loss is to comply with the Rules of the Money Management Council. No more than 5% of all funds may be invested in securities of a corporation that has been in continuous operation for less than three years. No more than 5% of the outstanding voting securities of any one corporation may be held. In addition, Rule 2 limits investment concentrations in certain types of investments. Rule 17 of the Money Management Council limits investments in a single issuer of commercial paper and corporate obligations to 5-10% depending upon the total dollar amount held in the portfolio.

Custodial Credit Risk

For an investment, custodial credit risk is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The District does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk. As of December 31, 2006, the District had \$2,963,012 invested in the Public Treasurer's Investment Fund and was held by them.

3. MAJOR TAXPAYER

The District derives significant revenues from property taxes. Rocky Mountain Power is a major taxpayer in the county, where the District is located. According to the County Treasurer's estimate, Rocky Mountain Power supplies 73 percent of the District's tax revenues.

4. <u>CAPITAL ASSETS</u>

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Beginning						Ending	
		Balance	1	ncreases		ecreases		Balance
Governmental activities:								
Capital assets not being depreciated:								
Land	_\$_	218,683					_\$_	218,683
Total capital assets		-						
not being depreciated:	\$	21 8,68 3	\$		_\$		_\$	218,683
Capital assets being depreciated:		•						
Buildings	\$	66,703					\$	66,703
Machinery and Equipment		1,285,354	\$	86,697	\$	52,606		1,319,445
Infrastructure		28,584,717		418,630		395,909		28,607,438
Total capital assets								
being depreciated:	_\$	29 ,93 6 ,774	\$	505,327	_\$	448,515	_\$	29,993,586
Less accumulated								
depreciation for:	\$	25 661	\$	1 667			\$	37,328
Buildings Machinery and Equipment	Þ	35,661 803,123	Ф	1,667 104,562	\$	52,606	Ф	37,328 855, 079
Infrastructure		10,152,017		736,767	Ф	193,376		10,695,408
nmasti ucture		10,132,017		730,707		193,370	_	10,093,408
Total accumulated		•						
depreciation	\$	1 0,990,8 01	\$	842,996	\$	245,982	\$	11,587,815
•								
Total capital assets being								
depreciation, net	\$	18,945,973	\$	(337,669)	\$	202,533	_\$_	18,405,771
Governmental activities								•
capital assets, net	\$	19,164,656	\$	(337,669)	\$	202,533	\$	18,624,454

4. <u>CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)</u>

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental functions as follows:

Governmental Activities:

Public works \$ 842,996

Total depreciation expense - governmental activities

\$ 842,996

5. **BUDGET COMPLIANCE**

Utah State law requires that budgetary compliance be measured on a fund level. During the year ended December 31, 2006, there were no unfavorable budget variances.

6. PROPERTY TAX CALENDAR

The District adopts, by June 22, the proposed tax rates as part of its budget for the current year, which began January 1. If the proposed rates exceed a certified tax rate, a special public hearing must be held before the final rate is adopted. The final tax rate is assessed, by the county assessor, on property in the County on the prior January 1. The taxes are payable to the county treasurer by the end of November and are remitted to the District by the county treasurer as collected.

7. LONG-TERM DEBT

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows:

DUE	G.O.B. SERIES	G.O.B. SERIES				G.O.B. SERIES
DATE	 2006A	 2005A		2004A		2003A
2007		\$ 228,000	\$	11 6,00 0		•
2008		234,000		119,000		
2009	\$ 246,000	240,000		123,000	\$	325,000
2010	246,000	246,000		126,000		335,000
2011	246,000	252,000		129,000		340,000
2012-2015	 490,000			547,000		
	\$ 1,228,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$	1,160,000	\$	1,000,000

DUE DATE	G.O.B. SERIES 2003B	9	G.O.B. SERIES 2002A	,	G.O.B. SERIES 2001A	;	G.O.B. SERIES 2000A	C	TOTAL GENERAL BLIGATION BONDS
2007 2008	\$ 75,000 80 ,000	\$	151 ,00 0 157 ,0 00	\$	210,000 215,000	\$	20 6,0 00 212,000	\$	986,000 1,017,000
2009	360,000				210,000				1,294,000
2010	375,000								1,328,000
2011	390,000								1,357,000
2012-2015	760,000_								1,797,000
	\$ 2,040,000	\$	30 8,0 00	\$	425,000	\$	418,000	\$	7,779,000

The long-term debt activity for the year ending December 31, 2006, was as follows:

								Due
	Beginning					Ending		Within
	Balance	Additions	R	eductions	Balance		One Year	
Governmental activities:								
Bonds payable:								
G.O.B Series 1999A	\$ 300,000		\$	300,000				
G.O.B Series 2000A	618,000			200,000	\$	418,000	\$	206,000
G.O.B Series 2001A	630,000			205,000		425,000		210,000
G.O.B Series 2002A	456,000			148,000		3 08, 000		151,000
G.O.B Series 2003A	1,000,000					1,0 00, 000		
G.O.B Series 2003B	2,070,000			30,000		2,040,000		75,0 00
G.O.B Series 2004A	1,273,300			113,300		1,1 60, 000		116,000
G.O.B Series 2005A	1,200,000					1,2 00, 000		228,000
G.O.B Series 2006A		\$ 1,2 28 ,000				1,228,000		
Capital Lease	227,603			73,582		154,021		80,295
Compensated Absences	76,985	3,181				80,166		
-								
Governmental activity		ф. 1 221 101	Φ.	1 0/0 002	ø	0.012.107	\$	1,066,295
long-term liabilities	\$ 7,851,888	\$ 1,231,181	\$	1,069,882	<u> </u>	8,013,187	=	1,000,293

During 2000, the District issued \$1,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate of three percent (3.00%) per annum with interest payable commencing January 1, 2002 and on each January 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin January 1, 2004 and continue through January 1, 2008. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE					MOUNT INCIPAL
PAYMENT DUE	. PR	INCIPAL DUE	 TEREST IARGES	IN	AND ITEREST
January 1, 2007 January 1, 2008	\$	206,000 212,000	\$ 12,540 6,3 60	\$	218,540 218,360
·	\$	418,000	\$ 18,900	\$	436,900

During 2001, the District issued \$1,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate of two and one half percent (2.50%) per annum with interest payable commencing January 1, 2004 and on each January 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin January 1, 2004 and continue through January 1, 2008. The repayment schedule is as follows:

					Α	MOUNT
DATE					PR	INCIPAL
PAYMENT	PRINCIPAL		IN'	INTEREST		AND
DUE		DUE	CHARGES		IN	ITEREST_
January 1, 2007 January 1, 2008	\$	210,000 215,000	\$	10,625 5,375	\$	220,625 220,375
	\$	425,000	\$	16,000	\$	441,000

During 2002, the District issued \$743,800 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate of two and one half percent (2.50%) per annum with interest payable commencing December 1, 2004 and on each December 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin December 1, 2004 and continue through December 1, 2008. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE PRINCIPAL DUE	PRINCIPAL DUE		TEREST HARGES	PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST		
December 1, 2007 December 1, 2008	\$	151 ,00 0 157 ,0 00	\$ 7,7 00 3,925	\$	15 8, 700 16 0,9 25	
	\$	308,000	\$ 11,625	\$	319,625	

During 2003, the District issued \$1,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate of two and one half percent (2.50%) per annum with interest payable commencing March 1, 2004 and on each March 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin March 1, 2009 and continue through March 1, 2011. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE			PRINCIPAL		
PRINCIPAL	PRINCIPAL	INTEREST	AND		
DUE	DUE	CHARGES	INTEREST		
March 1, 2007		\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000		
March 1, 2008		25,000	25,000		
March 1, 2009	\$ 325,000	25,000	350,000		
March 1, 2010	335,000	16,875	351,875		
March 1, 2011	340,000	8,500	348,500		
	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 100,375	\$ 1,100,375		

During 2003, the District issued \$2,100,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate ranging from 2.15% through 3.80% per annum with interest payable commencing March 15, 2004 and on each March 15th and September 15th thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin March 15, 2005 and continue through March 15, 2012. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE PRINCIPAL DUE	PRINCIPAL DUE		TEREST HARGES	PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST		
March 15, 2007	\$	75,000	\$ 36,591	\$	111,591	
September 15, 2007			35 ,50 4		35,504	
March 15, 2008		80,000	35,504		115,504	
September 15, 2008			34,244		34,244	
March 15, 2009	360,000		34,244		394,244	
September 15, 2009			28,214		28,214	
March 15, 2010		375,000	28,214		403,214	
September 15, 2010			21,557		21,557	
March 15, 2011		390,000	21,557		411,557	
September 15, 2011			14,440		14,440	
March 15, 2012		760,000	 14,440		774,440	
	\$	2,040,000	\$ 304,509	\$	2,344,509	

During 2006, the District issued \$1,228,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds mature in five (5) principal installments, payable on January 1 of each year commencing on January 1, 2009. As long as all principal installments are paid in a timely manner, no interest will accrue on the outstanding principal balance. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE	Pl	PRINCIPAL				
PAYMENT DUE		DUE				
Jan uar y 1, 2009	\$	24 6,0 00				
January 1, 2010		24 6,00 0				
January 1, 2011		246,000				
January 1, 2012		246,000				
January 1, 2013		244,000				
		•				
	\$	1,228,000				

During 2004, the District issued \$1,273,300 of General Obligation Public Purpose Bonds, Series 2004A. The bonds carry an interest rate of two and one half percent (2.50%) per annum with interest payable commencing March 1, 2006 and on each March 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin March 1, 2006 and continue through March 1, 2015. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE PRINCIPAL DUE	PRINCIPAL DUE			TEREST HARGES	PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST		
March 1, 2007	\$	116,000	\$	29,000	\$	145,000	
March 1, 2007	Ψ	119,000	Ψ	26,100	Ψ	145,100	
March 1, 2009		123,000		23,125		146,125	
March 1, 2010	126,000			20,050		146,050	
March 1, 2011		129,000		16,900		145,900	
March 1, 2012		132,000		13,675		145,675	
March 1, 2013		135,000		10,375		145,375	
March 1, 2014		138,000		7,0 00		145,000	
March 1, 2015		142,000	3,550			145,550	
	\$	1,160,000	\$	149,775	_\$_	1,309,775	

During 2005, the District issued \$1,200,000 of General Obligation Bonds. The bonds carry an interest rate of two and one half percent (2.50%) per annum with interest payable commencing March 1, 2007 and on each March 1st thereafter until maturity. Principal payments on this bond issue begin March 1, 2007 and continue through March 1, 2011. The repayment schedule is as follows:

DATE			PRINCIPAL		
PRINCIPAL	PRINCIPAL	INTEREST	AND		
DUE	DUE	CHARGES	INTEREST		
M1 1 2007	¢ 228.000	¢ 20.000	¢ 250,000		
March 1, 2007	\$ 228,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 258,000		
March 1, 2008	234,000	24,300	258,300		
March 1, 2009	240,000	18,450	25 8 ,450		
March 1, 2010	246,000	12,450	258,450		
March 1, 2011	252,000	6,300	258,300		
	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 91,500	\$ 1,291,500		

Capital Leases

During 2004, the District entered into a lease purchase agreement to acquire a Vactor sewer truck. The total amount of the lease was \$210,000. The lease requires four annual payments of \$56,023.60 beginning April 13, 2005. The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments together with the present value of the minimum lease payments, as of December 31, 2006:

PAYMENT DUE	PAYMENT AMOUNT				
April 13, 2007 April 13, 2008	\$ 56,024 56,023				
Total net minimum lease payment Less amount representing interest	\$ 112,047 (4,135)				
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$ 107,912				

Capital Leases

During 2005, the District entered into a lease purchase agreement to acquire a street sweeper truck. The total amount of the lease was \$68,000. The lease requires three annual payments of \$24,271.52 beginning March 25, 2006. The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments together with the present value of the minimum lease payments, as of December 31, 2006:

PAYMENT DUE	PAYMENT AMOUNT			
March 25, 2007 March 25, 2008	\$	24, 272 24,271		
Total net minimum lease payment Less amount representing interest	\$	48 ,543 (2 ,434)		
Present value of net minimum lease payments	\$	46,109		

8. PENSION PLANS

At December 31, 2006, the District was participating in the Utah State Retirement Systems. We have listed below information pertaining to the retirement systems.

Local Governmental - Cost Sharing

Plan Description. The District contributes to the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System and Local Governmental Noncontributory Retirement System, cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans administered by the Utah Retirement Systems (Systems). Utah Retirement Systems provide refunds, retirement benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries in accordance with retirement statutes.

The Systems are established and governed by the respective sections of Chapter 49 of the Utah Code Annotated 1953 as amended. The Utah State Retirement Office Act in Chapter 49 provides for the administration of the Utah Retirement Systems and Plans under the direction of the Utah State Retirement Board (Board) whose members are appointed by the Governor. The Systems issue a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System and Local Governmental Noncontributory Retirement System. A copy of the report may be obtained by writing to the Utah Retirement Systems, 540 E. 200 S., Salt Lake City, UT 84102 or by calling 1-800-365-8772.

Funding Policy. Plan members in the Local Governmental Contributory Retirement System are required to contribute 6.00% of their annual covered salary (all or part may be paid by the employer for the employee) and the District is required to contribute between 7.08% and 7.58% of its annual covered salary. In the Local Governmental Noncontributory Retirement System, the District is required to contribute between 11.09% and 11.59% of its annual covered salary. The contribution rates are the actuarially determined rates. The contribution requirements of the Systems are authorized by statute and specified by the Board.

The District's contributions to the Local Contributory Retirement System for the years ending December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$3,890.92, \$3,465.34, and \$2,931.54 respectively and for the Noncontributory Retirement System the Contributions for December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$54,369.84, \$45,216.81 and \$44,412.73 respectively. The contributions were equal to the required contributions for each year.

Equitable

During a certain period of time, Managers of certain governmental departments were eligible to withdraw from the Utah Retirement Systems. The Manager chose to withdraw his funds and establish his own pension plan at the time the State allowed this withdrawal.

The funds were withdrawn and invested in a Single Premium Deferred Annuity. The ongoing contributions are being invested in a PEDC (Public Employee Deferred Compensation Plan) with Equivest, a wholly owned subsidiary of Equitable. The Equivest Plan is a variable annuity that is currently invested as a Guaranteed Interest Account.

The employer is not required to make contributions to the plan. The employer contributed 12.64% of salary during 2006. The total dollar amount of contributions was \$10,349, which was 12.64% of payroll. Total payroll and covered payroll, which applies to the plan, was \$81,849.

9. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On December 7, 2006 the Permanent Community Impact Fund Board authorized an \$830,000 grant and a \$1,660,000 loan to the District for their 2007 capital improvement projects. The bond closing was scheduled to be held in March 2007, at which time the District would receive these funds.

10. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disaster. To more economically cover these liabilities, the District joined together with other governmental entities to form a public entity risk pool that operated as a common risk management and insurance program. The District pays an annual premium to the risk management pool for its general insurance coverage. The agreement for formation of the risk management pool provides that the pool will be self-sustaining through member premiums and will reinsure through commercial companies at various levels of risk.

The District continues to carry commercial insurance for all other risks of loss, including workers compensation and employee health and accident insurance.

11. RESTRICTED CASH AND NET ASSETS

Through the years of operations, the District has issued general obligation bonds, set up escrow and retainage accounts and accumulated tax revenue to make payments on bonds. To keep track of these funds, the District has restricted the use of these funds in the following manner:

Water treatment plan reserve	\$	81,055
Capital improvement funds		659,159
Debt sinking funds		1,316,004
Total restricted cash and net assets	\$	2,056,218
Total restricted easil and net assets	Ψ	2,030,210

12. BOND DISCOUNT AND ISSUANCE COSTS

During 2003, the District issued \$3,100,000 of General Obligation Bonds at a discount of \$21,000. This discount is being amortized over the life of the bond, which is nine years. During the current year, the District amortized \$2,333 of the bond discount.

Along with the discount in 2003, the District accrued bond issuance costs of \$21,425 and in 2004, 2005, and 2006 accrued an additional \$13,926, \$13,305 and \$15,000 of bond issuance costs when they issued their General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, Series 2005A and Series 2006A. These costs are also being amortized over the life of the bonds. During the current year, the District amortized \$8,484 of these issuance costs.

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL GENERAL FUND

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

								RIANCE WITH
		BUDGET A	<u>AMO</u>	UNTS		A COTTAIN	FINAL BUDGET	
	ORIGINAL		FINAL		ACTUAL AMOUNTS		FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE)	
•		RIGINAL		TIMAL		WOONID	(0/117	(VOIGIBEE)
REVENUES:								
Taxes:								•
Property taxes	\$	927,0 00	\$	1,0 43,1 50	\$	1,0 30,42 7	\$	(12,723)
Charges to Cities for roads		46,8 00		44,4 40		44,436		(4)
Connection fees		26,000		34,0 00		40,917		6,917
User fees		514,000		516,000		540,736		24,736
Interest income		15,000		33,0 00		48,571		15,571
Mineral lease revenue		540, 000		439,2 90		439,288		(2)
Contribution/Miscellaneous revenue		2,000		4,380		78		(4,302)
Total revenues	_\$_	2,070,800	\$	2,114,260	_\$_	2,144,453	\$	30,193
EXPENDITURES:								
Current:								
General government								
Board expenses	\$	6,0 00	\$	5,800	\$	4,40 0	\$	1,400
Wages & fringe benefits		170,000		172,000		177,220		(5,220)
Office rental		6,9 00		6,8 40		6,84 0		
Utilities, telephone and blue stakes		7,000		6,7 00		5,6 16		1,084
Office supplies		5,000		5,0 50		4,383		667
Insurance		35,000		39,6 00		34,097		5,503
Continuing Ed & membership dues		7,000		9,7 00		8,923		7 77
Engineering and GIS		15,000		4,0 00		5,5 65		(1,565)
Accounting		8,000		8,800		8,5 69		231
Legal		12,000		11,500		10,611		889
Travel, miscellaneous and publications		8,000	_	6,760		6,392		368
Total general government	\$	279,900	\$	276,750	_\$_	272,616	\$	4,134
Public works								
Wages and fringe benefits	\$	749, 000	\$	789,0 00	\$	800,577	\$	(11,577)
Rental		500		2,610		2,96 0		(350)
Transportation and fuel		50, 000		55,400		47,003		8,397
Pipe, values, and fittings		94, 000		134,4 60		1 33,94 0		520 .
Gravel, mulch and cement		10,000		8,5 20		5,524		2,996
Chemicals		42, 000		46,9 80		45,468		1,512
Small tools		10,000		14,300		12,799		1,501
Lab tests and equipment		24, 000		25,320		23,276		2,044
Maintenance		137, 000		137,000		1 36,8 39		161
Utilities		119,000		93,5 00		91,877		1,623
Outside contractors		15,000		18,540		17,44 0		1,100
Janitorial Supplies		500		450		436		14
Miscellaneous		1,000				1 70		(170)
Total public works	\$	1,252,000	_\$_	1,326,080	\$	1,318,309	\$	7,771

CASTLE VALLEY SPECIAL SERVICE DISTRICT (A COMPONENT UNIT OF EMERY COUNTY) STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL GENERAL FUND FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	BUDGET AMOUNTS						FINA	ARIANCE WITH L BUDGET
		ORIGINAL		FINAL		ACTUAL MOUNTS	FAVORABLE (UNFAVORABLE)	
Capital outlay								
Capital expenditures Emergency reserve	\$	498,6 00 20, 000	\$	533,960 20,000	\$	532,611	\$	1,349 20,000
Total capital outlay	\$	518,600	_\$_	553,960	\$	532,611	\$	21,349
Total expenditures	\$	2,050,500		2,156,790	_\$	2,123,536	\$	33,254
Excess of revenue over (under) expenditures	\$	20,300	\$	(42,530)	\$	20,917	s	63,447
·	<u> </u>	20,300		(42,550)	-	20,717	<u> </u>	05,447
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):								
Capital lease financing	\$	(80,3 00)	\$	(80,300)	\$	(80,295)	\$. 5
Transfers in (out)		(60,000)	_	(60,000)	•	(60,000)		
Total other financing sources (uses)		(140,300)		(140,300)		(140,295)	_\$	5
Excess of revenues and other sources								
over (under) expenditures and other uses	\$	(120,000)	\$	(182,830)	\$	(119,378)	\$	63,452
Fund balances - beginning of year	· 	1,144,614		1,144,614		1,144,614		
Fund balances - end of year	<u>\$</u>	1,024,614	\$	961,784	\$	1,025,236	\$	63,452

SMUIN, RICH & MARSING

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
294 East 100 South
Price, Utah 84501
Phone (435) 637-1203 • FAX (435) 637-8708

CRAIG G.SMUIN, C.P.A. R. KIRT RICH, C.P.A. GREG MARSING, C.P.A. DOUGLAS RASMUSSEN, C.P.A.

MEMBERS

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

UTAH ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Board of Trustees Castle Valley Special Service District Castle Dale, Utah 84513

RE: Report on Legal Compliance with Applicable Utah State Laws and

Regulations

Ladies/Gentlemen:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Castle Valley Special Service District for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated March 9, 2007.

As part of our audit, we have audited Castle Valley Special Service District's compliance with the requirements governing types of services allowed or unallowed; eligibility; match, level of effort, or earmarking; reporting; and special tests and provisions applicable to each of its major State assistance programs as required by the State of Utah Legal Compliance Audit Guide for the year ended December 31, 2006. The District received the following major State assistance programs from the State of Utah:

Mineral Lease Funds (Department of Transportation)
Community Impact Loan (Department of Community and Economic Development)
Community Impact Grant (Department of Community and Economic Development)

The District did not receive any nonmajor grants during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Our audit included testwork on the District's compliance with those general compliance requirements identified in the State of Utah Legal Compliance Audit Guide, including:

Public Debt
Cash Management
Budgetary Compliance
Other Compliance Requirements

Purchasing Requirements
Truth in Taxation & Property
Tax Limitations
Special Districts

The management of the District is responsible for the District's compliance with all compliance requirements identified above. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance with those requirements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the requirements referred to above occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The results of our audit procedures disclosed no instances of noncompliance with the requirements referred to above.

In our opinion, Castle Valley Special Service District complied, in all material respects, with the general compliance requirements identified above and the requirements governing types of services allowed or unallowed; match, level of effort, or earmarking; reporting; and special tests and provisions that are applicable to each of its major State assistance programs for the year ended December 31, 2006.

SMUIN, RICH & MARSING
Success Lik & Marsing

Price, Utah

March 9, 2007

SMUIN, RICH & MARSING

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
294 East 100 South
Price, Utah 84501
Phone (435) 637-1203 • FAX (435) 637-8708

MEMBERS

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

UTAH ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

CRAIG G.SMUIN, C.P.A. R. KIRT RICH, C.P.A. GREG MARSING, C.P.A. DOUGLAS RASMUSSEN, C.P.A.

> Board of Trustees Castle Valley Special Service District Castle Dale, Utah 84513

> > RE: Report on Compliance and on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Castle Valley Special Service District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated March 9, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of non-compliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the District's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we considered to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the audit committee, management and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Smuin, Rich & MARSING
Spound, But & Marry

Price, Utah

March 9, 2007